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## 1. Introduction

In an unpublished work [1], Fateev, Zamolodchikov and Zamolodchikov discovered that the $\mathrm{SL}(2, R) / \mathrm{U}(1) \mathrm{WZW}$ model describing the two-dimensional conformal field theory whose target space is the cigar, or Euclidean 2D black hole [2- [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d s^{2}}{k}=d r^{2}+\tanh ^{2} r d \theta^{2}, \quad r>0, \quad \theta \sim \theta+2 \pi \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the level of $\operatorname{SL}(2, R)$, has a dual description in terms of a sine-Liouville theory. This is a free theory with linear dilaton perturbed by a sine-Liouville potential which carries a unit of winding,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=(\partial \phi)^{2}+(\partial X)^{2}+\mu \cos (\sqrt{k} \tilde{X}) e^{-\sqrt{k^{\prime}} \phi}+\mathcal{R} k^{\prime-1 / 2} \phi, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k^{\prime}=k-2$ and $\tilde{X}=X_{L}-X_{R}$.
This so-called FZZ duality, has been investigated and exploited in several works [512]. It has been generalized to the $N=2$ supersymmetric case [13], where it follows from mirror symmetry (14 (see also (15). For worldsheets with boundary there exists a boundary version of the FZZ duality, both when the bulk theory has the cigar/sine-Liouville perturbations (or their $N=2$ counterparts) turned on [16] or when the bulk theory is flat. In the latter case, the D1 brane of the cigar 17] becomes the hairpin brane 18], which has a sine-Liouville dual description studied in [19, 20].

In this paper we explore the FZZ duality by studying the exact operator quantization of a classical scattering problem. The same ideas and techniques are relevant for the simpler
case of Liouville theory, which we discuss first as a warm up. In both cases we show that the scattering coefficient of a free field bouncing off the Liouville wall or the tip of the cigar cannot be determined without further input, which comes from assuming that there is a second scattering process with the same scattering coefficient. In the case of Liouville, discussed in section 2, the second theory is Liouville itself with the Liouville coefficient $b$ replaced by $b^{-1}$. In the case of the cigar, discussed in section 3, we find a field which represents a scattering process of sine-Liouville type and which yields the correct reflection coefficient, computed previously using other techniques. We also comment on the relation between the results of this work and the techniques considered in (12], which are based on exploiting the parafermionic symmetry of the model.

## 2. Duality in Liouville scattering

It is well known that the Liouville classical equation can be solved in terms of a free field. Therefore it is natural to try to quantize Liouville theory by quantizing the mapping to this free field via operator quantization. This program has been carried out successfully in (21] were the DOZZ formula [22, 23] for the Liouville three point function was reobtained (see also (24]).

In this section we will use similar techniques to compute the reflection coefficient of an asymptotically free field bouncing off the Liouville wall. The relevant ideas were succinctly exposed in [25] (see section 14 there), and we will flesh them out here, stressing the role of the $b \leftrightarrow 1 / b$ duality.

### 2.1 Classical scattering

We work first in the cylinder $(\sigma, t) \sim(\sigma+2 \pi, t)$. The Liouville equation of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{+} \partial_{-} \varphi(\sigma, t)=2 \pi \mu_{c} b e^{b \varphi(\sigma, t)}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{ \pm}=t \pm \sigma$, can be solved in terms of two arbitrary functions $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}\left(x^{+}\right), \overline{\mathrm{B}}=\overline{\mathrm{B}}\left(x^{-}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\frac{b \varphi}{2}}=\sqrt{\pi \mu_{c}} b \frac{1-\mathrm{B} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}}{\sqrt{\partial_{+} \mathrm{B} \partial_{-} \overline{\mathrm{B}}}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to express B, $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$ through a free field $\phi(\sigma, t)=\phi\left(x^{+}\right)+\bar{\phi}\left(x^{-}\right)$as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{+} \mathrm{B}=\sqrt{\pi \mu_{c}} b e^{b \phi\left(x^{+}\right)},  \tag{2.3}\\
& \partial_{-} \overline{\mathrm{B}}=\sqrt{\pi \mu_{c}} b e^{b \bar{\phi}\left(x^{-}\right)} . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The fields $\phi, \bar{\phi}$ have an expansion,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi\left(x^{+}\right)=\frac{x}{2}+\frac{p}{2} x^{+}+\text {oscilators }  \tag{2.5}\\
& \bar{\phi}\left(x^{-}\right)=\frac{x}{2}+\frac{p}{2} x^{-}+\text {oscilators } \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Requiring B to have the same monodromy as $\partial_{+} \mathrm{B}\left(x^{+}\right), \partial_{+} \mathrm{B}\left(x^{+}+2 \pi\right)=e^{\pi p b} \partial_{+} \mathrm{B}\left(x^{+}\right)$, and similarly for $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$, fixes the solutions to (2.3)-(2.4) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{B}\left(x^{+}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{\pi \mu_{c}} b}{\left(e^{\pi p b}-1\right)} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \sigma^{\prime} e^{b \phi\left(x^{+}+\sigma^{\prime}\right)}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \overline{\mathrm{B}}\left(x^{-}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{\pi \mu_{c}} b}{\left(e^{\pi p b}-1\right)} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \sigma^{\prime \prime} e^{b \phi\left(x^{-}+\sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution (2.2) is invariant under $\mathrm{B}, \overline{\mathrm{B}} \rightarrow 1 / \mathrm{B}, 1 / \overline{\mathrm{B}}$. This transformation corresponds to mapping the free field $\phi(\sigma, t)$ into another free field $\xi(\sigma, t)=\xi\left(x^{+}\right)+\xi\left(x^{-}\right)$with momentum $-p$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\frac{b}{2}\left(\xi\left(x^{+}\right)+\xi\left(x^{-}\right)\right)}=-\mathrm{B}\left(x^{+}\right) \overline{\mathrm{B}}\left(x^{-}\right) e^{-\frac{b}{2}\left(\phi\left(x^{+}\right)+\phi\left(x^{-}\right)\right)} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This mapping encodes the physical meaning of the solution (2.2) as a scattering of the free field $\phi(\sigma, t)$ off the Liouville wall [26]. To see this, note first that as a function of $\phi, \bar{\phi}$, eq. (2.2) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\frac{b \varphi(\sigma, t)}{2}}=e^{-\frac{b}{2}\left(\phi\left(x^{+}\right)+\phi\left(x^{-}\right)\right)}\left[1-\frac{\pi \mu_{c} b^{2}}{\left(e^{\pi p b}-1\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \sigma^{\prime} e^{b \phi\left(x^{+}+\sigma^{\prime}\right)} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \sigma^{\prime \prime} e^{b \phi\left(x^{-}+\sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right] . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $p>0$. Then at the infinite past and future we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} e^{-\frac{b \varphi(\sigma, t)}{2}}=e^{-\frac{b}{2}\left(\phi\left(x^{+}\right)+\bar{\phi}\left(x^{-}\right)\right)},  \tag{2.11}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} e^{-\frac{b \varphi(\sigma, t)}{2}}=e^{-\frac{b}{2}\left(\xi\left(x^{+}\right)+\xi\left(x^{-}\right)\right)} . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore at both ends $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$ the Liouville field $\varphi$ is a free field. The Liouville wall maps the incoming $(p>0)$ free field $\phi(\sigma, t)$ into the outgoing $(p<0)$ free field $\xi(\sigma, t)$. The case $p<0$ is obtained by time reversal.

### 2.2 The reflection coefficient and the Liouville duality

A remarkable fact is that the transformation from the field $\phi(\sigma, t)$ to the field $\varphi(\sigma, t)$ is canonical [25]. This suggests to quantize Liouville theory by quantizing the free field $\phi(\sigma, t)$ and defining a quantum version of eq. (2.19). We will perform the quantization in the complex Euclidean plane. Let us Wick rotate the time variable into $\tau=i t$, and take $z=e^{\tau+i \sigma}, \bar{z}=e^{\tau-i \sigma}$ as two independent variables.

The fields $\phi, \bar{\phi}$ have a mode expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi(z)=\mathbf{x}-i \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \log z+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\alpha_{n}}{n} \frac{1}{z^{n}}  \tag{2.13}\\
& \bar{\phi}(\bar{z})=\mathbf{x}-i \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \log \bar{z}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{n}}{n} \frac{1}{\bar{z}^{n}} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

and the modes satisfy the commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}]=i \quad\left[\alpha_{n}, \alpha_{m}\right]=\left[\bar{\alpha}_{n}, \bar{\alpha}_{m}\right]=n \delta_{n+m, 0} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Normal ordering :: is defined by putting the annihilation operators $\alpha_{n>0}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ to the right, and the creation operators $\alpha_{n<0}$ and $\mathbf{x}$ to the left. With this prescription the short distance singularity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z) \phi(w)=: \phi(z) \phi(w):-\frac{1}{2} \log (z-w) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for $\bar{\phi}(\bar{z})$. The stress tensor of the quantum theory is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=-(\partial \phi(z))^{2}+Q \partial^{2} \phi(z) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=b+b^{-1} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and central charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=1+6 Q^{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is a similar anti-holomorphic copy. A chiral vertex operator of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
: e^{2 \alpha \phi(z)}:=e^{2 \alpha \mathbf{x}} e^{-2 \alpha \frac{i \mathbf{p}}{2} \log z} e^{-\frac{i 2 \alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n>0} \frac{\alpha-n}{n} z^{n}} e^{\frac{i 2 \alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n>0} \frac{\alpha_{n}}{n} z^{-n}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

has holomorphic conformal dimension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\alpha(Q-\alpha) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and carries $\mathbf{p}$ momentum

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=-2 i \alpha \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For normalizable states with $\alpha=\frac{Q}{2}+i \mathbb{R}$, the last expression is consistent with the anomalous hermiticity of $\mathbf{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p} \dagger=\mathbf{p}+2 i Q \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows from the presence of the background charge.
In order to define the quantum version of $e^{-\frac{b \varphi}{2}}$, let us define the "screening charges"

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{S}(z)=\int_{z}^{z e^{2 \pi i} d w e^{2 b \phi(w)}}  \tag{2.24}\\
& \overline{\mathbf{S}}(\bar{z})=\int_{\bar{z}}^{\bar{z} e^{2 \pi i}} d \bar{w} e^{2 b \bar{\phi}(\bar{w})} \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the integrand has conformal dimension one, these are conformal primaries with dimension zero, as follows from

$$
\begin{align*}
T(y) \mathbf{S}(z) & \sim \int_{z}^{z e^{2 \pi i}} d w \frac{\partial}{\partial w}\left[\frac{e^{2 b \phi(w)}}{y-w}\right],  \tag{2.26}\\
& \sim \frac{\left(e^{2 b \pi(\mathbf{p}+2 i b)}-1\right)}{y-z} e^{2 b \phi(z)}, \\
& \sim \frac{1}{y-z} \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}(z)}{\partial z} .
\end{align*}
$$

The quantum version of $e^{-\frac{b \varphi}{2}}$ in (2.10) can now be taken as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{V}_{b}(z, \bar{z})= & e^{-b \phi(z)} e^{b \mathbf{x}} e^{-b \bar{\phi}(\bar{z})}-\frac{\mu_{c} \pi b^{2}}{\left(e^{-4 \pi i b(\boldsymbol{\alpha}-b)}-1\right)} \\
& \mathbf{S}(z) e^{-b \phi(z)} e^{-b \mathbf{x}} e^{-b \bar{\phi}(\bar{z})} \overline{\mathbf{S}}(\bar{z}) \frac{1}{\left(e^{-4 \pi i b \boldsymbol{\alpha}}-1\right)} \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have defined $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=i \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}$ (see (2.22)). The factors $e^{ \pm b \mathbf{x}}$ are inserted in order to eliminate the duplication of the zero modes coming from $\phi$ and $\bar{\phi}$. Their position is dictated by the preservation of the normal order in the exponentials. In the factor $\left(e^{-4 \pi i b(\boldsymbol{\alpha}-b)}-1\right)^{-1}$, the shift in $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is because we have written it to the left of $\mathbf{S}(z) e^{-b \phi(z)}$.

An important property of the operator $\mathbf{V}_{b}$, is that, when defined in the Minkowskian cylinder $(t, \sigma)$, it satisfies the locality condition [25]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathbf{V}_{b}(t, \sigma), \mathbf{V}_{b}\left(t, \sigma^{\prime}\right)\right]=0 \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The product of screening charges and exponentials in $\mathbf{V}_{b}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{S}(z) e^{-b \phi(z)} & =\int_{z}^{z e^{2 \pi i}} d w(w-z)^{b^{2}}: e^{2 b \phi(w)-b \phi(z)}:  \tag{2.29}\\
e^{-b \bar{\phi}(\bar{z})} \overline{\mathbf{S}}(\bar{z}) & =\int_{\bar{z}}^{\bar{z} e^{2 \pi i}} d \bar{w}(\bar{z}-\bar{w})^{b^{2}}: e^{2 b \bar{\phi}(\bar{w})-b \bar{\phi}(\bar{z})}: \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

In the interacting theory, the primary fields can still be labeled by $\alpha$, with conformal dimension $\alpha(Q-\alpha)$. This expression is symmetric under $\alpha \rightarrow Q-\alpha$. For delta-normalizable states with $\alpha=Q / 2+i P$, this corresponds to $P \rightarrow-P$. Therefore an operator S which maps a state with $\alpha$ into one with $Q-\alpha$ can be considered as the quantum version of the mapping between asymptotic free fields provided by the classical solution. We do not need here the explicit form of the Liouville primaries for arbitrary $\alpha$ (see 25, 21]). It is enough for us that their action on the vacuum creates a state $|\alpha\rangle$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}|\alpha\rangle=|Q-\alpha\rangle \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ambiguity of the parametrization of the classical solution using $\phi$ or $\xi$, should manifest itself in the invariance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{V}_{b}(z, \bar{z})=\mathrm{S}^{-1} \mathbf{V}_{b}(z, \bar{z}) \mathrm{S} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $S$ should be a product $S=P R$, where the operator $P$ acts on the zero modes to change the eigenvalue of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, and R acts as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}|\alpha\rangle=R(\alpha)|\alpha\rangle \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R(\alpha)$ is the reflection coefficient that we want to compute. Note that from $\mathrm{S}^{2}=1$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\alpha) R(Q-\alpha)=1 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

An arbitrary matrix element of $\mathbf{V}_{b}(z, \bar{z})$ at $z=\bar{z}=1$ is, taking proper care of the zero modes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}\right| \mathbf{V}_{b}(1,1)|\alpha\rangle=\delta\left(\alpha^{\prime}-\alpha+b / 2\right)+\delta\left(\alpha^{\prime}-\alpha-b / 2\right) D_{b}(\alpha) . \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.29)-(2.30), the function $D_{b}(\alpha)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{b}(\alpha)=-\mu_{c} \pi b^{2} \frac{1}{\left(e^{-4 \pi i b(\alpha-b / 2)}-1\right)} I(\alpha) \bar{I}(\alpha) \frac{1}{\left(e^{-4 \pi i b \alpha}-1\right)} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
I(\alpha) & =\oint d w(w-1)^{b^{2}} w^{-2 b \alpha}  \tag{2.37}\\
\bar{I}(\alpha) & =\oint d \bar{w}(1-\bar{w})^{b^{2}} \bar{w}^{-2 b \alpha}  \tag{2.38}\\
& =e^{-i \pi b^{2}} I(\alpha) \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

and both integrals are taken counterclockwise in the unit circle. Since the integrand of $I(\alpha)$ is not analytic at $w=0,1$, the contour can be deformed keeping the point $w=1$ fixed and without crossing the point $w=0$. This leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
I(\alpha) & =e^{\pi i b^{2}}\left(e^{-4 \pi i b}-1\right) \int_{0}^{1} d w(1-w)^{b^{2}} w^{-2 b \alpha} \\
& =e^{\pi i b^{2}}\left(e^{-4 \pi i b}-1\right) \frac{\Gamma(1-2 b \alpha) \Gamma\left(b^{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(2-2 b \alpha+b^{2}\right)} \tag{2.40}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the integral representation (A.3) of the Euler beta function. The final expression for $D_{b}(\alpha)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{b}(\alpha)=\mu_{c} b^{2} \pi \Gamma^{2}\left(1+b^{2}\right) \gamma\left(2 \alpha b-b^{2}-1\right) \gamma(1-2 \alpha b) \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma(x)=\Gamma(x) / \Gamma(1-x)$. From the invariance (2.32), it follows that equation (2.35) should be equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}\right| \mathrm{S}^{-1} \mathbf{V}_{b}(1,1) \mathrm{S}|\alpha\rangle=R^{-1} & (\alpha+b / 2) R(\alpha) \delta\left(\alpha^{\prime}-\alpha-b / 2\right) \\
& +R^{-1}(\alpha-b / 2) R(\alpha) D_{b}(Q-\alpha) \delta\left(\alpha^{\prime}-\alpha+b / 2\right) \tag{2.42}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of the delta functions in (2.35) and (2.42) gives two equations for $R(\alpha)$, but it is easy to see that they are equivalent using (2.34). The resulting equation for $R(\alpha)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\alpha+b / 2)=R(\alpha) D_{b}^{-1}(\alpha) \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a difference equation that constraints the form of $R(\alpha)$ but does not fix it uniquely, since any solution can be multiplied by an arbitrary periodic function of $\alpha$ with period $b / 2$.

So we find that the classical Liouville scattering problem has no unique quantum version. A similar ambiguity is encountered in the bootstrap approach to quantum Liouville
theory [27, 28]. There, one imposes the symmetry $b \leftrightarrow 1 / b$. In our case this leads to a second equation for $R(\alpha)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(\alpha+b^{-1} / 2\right)=R(\alpha) \tilde{D}_{1 / b}^{-1}(\alpha), \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}_{1 / b}(\alpha)=\tilde{\mu}_{c} b^{-2} \pi \Gamma^{2}\left(1+b^{-2}\right) \gamma\left(2 \alpha b^{-1}-b^{-2}-1\right) \gamma\left(1-2 \alpha b^{-1}\right) . \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (2.34), (2.43) and (2.44), yield, for irrational $b^{2}$, a unique reflection coefficient,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\alpha)=-\left(\mu_{c} b^{2} \Gamma^{2}\left(b^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{Q-2 \alpha}{b}}\left[(Q-2 \alpha)^{2} \gamma(b(Q-2 \alpha)) \gamma\left(b^{-1}(Q-2 \alpha)\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tilde{\mu}_{c} b^{-2} \Gamma^{2}\left(b^{-2}\right)=\left(\mu_{c} b^{2} \Gamma^{2}\left(b^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / b^{2}}$. This is the same reflection coefficient that follows from the DOZZ formula (22, 23], with the identification

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\mathrm{c}} \pi b^{2}=\mu_{\mathrm{DOZZ}} \sin \left(\pi b^{2}\right) \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The important lesson that we learn is that in order to fix uniquely the reflection coefficient of Liouville theory, we must assume that there are two classical scattering problems (related by $b \leftrightarrow 1 / b)$, with the same quantum reflection coefficient.

## 3. FZZ scattering

In this section we will address a similar scattering problem formulated in the cigar background (1.1). The classical equations of the cigar non-linear sigma model were solved in terms of free fields in 29-31. This solution was canonically quantized in the interesting work [32]. We start reviewing some results of these works. As we will see, the equations obtained from quantizing the cigar are not enough to fix the reflection coefficient. We will show that assuming that a dual scattering problem of sine-Liouville type has the same reflection coefficient, fixes it to its known value from other quantization schemes.

### 3.1 Classical scattering in the cigar

Let us consider the cigar metric (1.1) parameterized with Kruskal coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{\sinh r e^{i \theta}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \quad \bar{u}=\frac{\sinh r e^{-i \theta}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameter $\lambda$ will play a role similar to $\mu_{c}$ in Liouville theory. In these variables, the classical action of a string propagating in the cigar background (1.1) is, in the complex plane,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[u, \bar{u}]=\frac{k}{4 \pi} \int d z d \bar{z}\left[\frac{\partial u \bar{\partial} \bar{u}+\partial \bar{u} \bar{\partial} u}{\lambda+u \bar{u}}\right] . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations of motion following from this action are the real and imaginary parts of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \bar{\partial} u=\frac{\bar{u} \partial u \bar{\partial} u}{\lambda+u \bar{u}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact solution to this equation found in 29-31 in terms of two free fields $\phi(z, \bar{z})=$ $\phi(z)+\bar{\phi}(\bar{z})$ and $X(z, \bar{z})=X(z)+\bar{X}(\bar{z})$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z, \bar{z})=e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(\phi(z)+\bar{\phi}(\bar{z})+i X(z)+i \bar{X}(\bar{z}))}[1-\lambda A(z) \bar{A}(\bar{z})] \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(z)$ and $\bar{A}(\bar{z})$ are solutions to

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial A(z) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(\partial \phi(z)+i \partial X(z)) e^{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{k}} \phi(z)}  \tag{3.5}\\
\bar{\partial} \bar{A}(\bar{z}) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(\bar{\partial} \bar{\phi}(\bar{z})+i \bar{\partial} \bar{X}(\bar{z})) e^{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{k}} \bar{\phi}(\bar{z})} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

As in Liouville theory, this mapping to free fields is canonical [29-31]. Expanding the fields $\phi, \bar{\phi}$ in modes as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi(z)=\frac{x_{1}}{2}-i \frac{p_{1}}{2} \log z+\text { oscilators }  \tag{3.7}\\
& \bar{\phi}(\bar{z})=\frac{x_{1}}{2}-i \frac{p_{1}}{2} \log \bar{z}+\text { oscilators } \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

we see that $\partial A(z), \bar{\partial} \bar{A}(\bar{z})$ have monodromies

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial A\left(e^{2 \pi i} z\right) & =\partial A(z) e^{-\frac{2 \pi p_{1}}{\sqrt{k}}}  \tag{3.9}\\
\bar{\partial} \bar{A}\left(e^{2 \pi i} \bar{z}\right) & =\bar{\partial} \bar{A}(\bar{z}) e^{-\frac{2 \pi p_{1}}{\sqrt{k}}} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Requiring these monodromies to be preserved by $A(z), \bar{A}(\bar{z})$, fixes them uniquely as

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}\left(e^{-\frac{2 \pi p_{1}}{\sqrt{k}}}-1\right)} \int_{z}^{z e^{2 \pi i}} d w(\partial \phi(w)+i \partial X(w)) e^{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{k}} \phi(w)}  \tag{3.11}\\
& \bar{A}(\bar{z})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}\left(e^{-\frac{2 \pi p_{1}}{\sqrt{k}}}-1\right)} \int_{\bar{z}}^{\bar{z}} e^{2 \pi i} d \bar{w}(\bar{\partial} \bar{\phi}(\bar{w})+i \bar{\partial} \bar{X}(\bar{w})) e^{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{k}} \bar{\phi}(\bar{w})} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where both integrals are taken counter-clockwise.
Writing expression (3.4) in Minkowskian cylinder coordinates, we get the same type behavior as in the Liouville case. Assuming $p_{1}<0$ and the boundary conditions $r(\sigma, t \rightarrow$ $\pm \infty) \rightarrow \infty$, the solution behaves, in the far past and future, as

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} u & =\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{e^{r+i \theta}}{2 \sqrt{\lambda}}=e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\left(\phi\left(x^{+}\right)+\bar{\phi}\left(x^{-}\right)+i X\left(x^{+}\right)+i \bar{X}\left(x^{-}\right)\right)}  \tag{3.13}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} u & =\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{e^{r+i \theta}}{2 \sqrt{\lambda}}=-\lambda e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\left(\phi\left(x^{+}\right)+\bar{\phi}\left(x^{-}\right)+i X\left(x^{+}\right)+i \bar{X}\left(x^{-}\right)\right)} A\left(x^{+}\right) \bar{A}\left(x^{-}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

We see thus that the incoming and outgoing fields are free fields. The cigar scatters the incoming free field $\phi(\sigma, t)+i X(\sigma, t)$ into an outgoing field which has opposite momentum $-p_{1}>0$. The case with $p_{1}<0$ is obtained by time reversal.

### 3.2 The reflection coefficient

The work [32] quantized the field $u$ in the Minkowskian cylinder. We will recast here those results in the Euclidean complex plane. ${ }^{1}$ The quantum free fields have mode expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(z) & =\mathbf{x}_{1}-i \frac{\mathbf{p}_{1}}{2} \log z+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\alpha_{n}^{(1)}}{n} \frac{1}{z^{n}}  \tag{3.15}\\
\bar{\phi}(\bar{z}) & =\mathbf{x}_{1}-i \frac{\mathbf{p}_{1}}{2} \log \bar{z}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{n}^{(1)}}{n} \frac{1}{\bar{z}^{n}} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& X(z)=\mathbf{x}_{2}-i \frac{\mathbf{p}_{2}}{2} \log z+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\alpha_{n}^{(2)}}{n} \frac{1}{z^{n}}  \tag{3.17}\\
& \bar{X}(\bar{z})=\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{2}-i \frac{\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{2}}{2} \log \bar{z}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{n}^{(2)}}{n} \frac{1}{\bar{z}^{n}} \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

The zero modes of the $X(z)$ and $\bar{X}(\bar{z})$ are independent since $X$ is a compact coordinate, with radius $2 \pi$. The modes satisfy commutation relations similar to (2.15). The field $X$ is compact with radius $\sqrt{k}$, therefore the spectrum of $\mathbf{p}_{2}, \overline{\mathbf{p}}_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}=\frac{m+n k}{\sqrt{k}} \quad \bar{p}_{2}=\frac{m-n k}{\sqrt{k}} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Normal ordering $::$ is defined as we did in the Liouville case, and the short distance singularities are as in (2.16). The stress tensor of the quantum theory is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=-(\partial \phi(z))^{2}-b \partial^{2} \phi(z)-(\partial X(z))^{2} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k-2}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is a similar anti-holomorphic copy. The central charge is $c=2+\frac{6}{k-2}$. A chiral vertex operator : $e^{2 b j \phi(z)}$ : has holomorphic conformal dimension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=-\frac{j(j+1)}{k-2} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and carries $\mathbf{p}_{1}$ momentum $p_{1}=-2 i b j$, For normalizable states with $j=-\frac{1}{2}+i \mathbb{R}$, the last expression is consistent with the anomalous hermiticity of $\mathbf{p}_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{1}^{\dagger}=\mathbf{p}_{1}-2 i b \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]which follows from the presence of the background charge.
In order to build the quantum counterpart of the classical field $u(z, \bar{z})$ of (3.4), let us define
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{f}(z) & =e^{b \phi(z)+i b \eta X(z)}  \tag{3.24}\\
\partial \mathbf{A}(z) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(\partial \phi(z)+i \partial X(z)) e^{-2 b \phi(z)} \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

and similarly $\overline{\mathbf{f}}(\bar{z})$ and $\bar{\partial} \overline{\mathbf{A}}(\bar{z})$, with $\eta=\frac{1}{b \sqrt{k}}=\sqrt{\frac{k-2}{k}}$. The change in the exponents of $\phi, \bar{\phi}$ from the classical case is in order for $\partial \mathbf{A}, \bar{\partial} \overline{\mathbf{A}}$ to be primaries of conformal dimension one. The monodromy-preserving solutions for $\mathbf{A}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ are now

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{A}(z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \frac{1}{\left(e^{-2 b \pi\left(\mathbf{p}_{1}-2 i b\right)}-1\right)} \mathbf{Q}(z),  \tag{3.26}\\
& \overline{\mathbf{A}}(\bar{z})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}(\bar{z}) \frac{1}{\left(e^{-2 b \pi \mathbf{p}_{1}}-1\right)}, \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{Q}(z) & =\int_{z}^{z e^{2 \pi i}} d w(\partial \phi(w)+i \partial X(w)) e^{-2 b \phi(w)}  \tag{3.28}\\
\overline{\mathbf{Q}}(\bar{z}) & =\int_{\bar{z}}^{\bar{z} \pi^{2 \pi i}} d \bar{w}(\bar{\partial} \bar{\phi}(\bar{w})+i \bar{\partial} \bar{X}(\bar{w})) e^{-2 b \bar{\phi}(\bar{w})} . \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice the shift on $\mathbf{p}_{1}$ in (3.26) when it appears multiplying from the left. The fields $\mathbf{Q}(z)$ and $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}(\bar{z})$ are primary fields of dimension zero, as in (2.26).

We can now define the quantum version of $u(z, \bar{z})$ in (3.4) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u}(z, \bar{z}) & =\mathbf{f}(z) e^{-b \mathbf{x}_{1}} \overline{\mathbf{f}}(\bar{z})-\lambda \mathbf{A}(z) \mathbf{f}(z) e^{b \mathbf{x}_{1}} \overline{\mathbf{f}}(\bar{z}) \overline{\mathbf{A}}(\bar{z}), \\
& =\mathbf{f}(z) e^{-b \mathbf{x}_{1}} \overline{\mathbf{f}}(\bar{z})-\frac{\lambda}{k} \frac{e^{-2 \pi i b^{2}(\mathbf{j}+1)}}{2 i \sin \left(2 \pi b^{2}(\mathbf{j}+1)\right)} \mathbf{Q}(z) \mathbf{f}(z) e^{b \mathbf{x}_{1}} \overline{\mathbf{f}}(\bar{z}) \overline{\mathbf{Q}}(\bar{z}) \frac{e^{-2 \pi i b^{2} \mathbf{j}}}{2 i \sin \left(2 \pi b^{2} \mathbf{j}\right)} . \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

We have defined the operator $\mathbf{j}$ as $\mathbf{p}_{1}=-2 i b \mathbf{j}$, and we have added the factor $e^{b \mathbf{x}_{1}}$ in order to compensate for the doubling of $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ in the mode expansion (3.15)-(3.16) of $\phi$ and $\bar{\phi}$. The position of $e^{b \mathbf{x}_{1}}$ is chosen so that we get the monodromy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}\left(z e^{2 \pi i}, \bar{z} e^{-2 \pi i}\right)=\mathbf{u}(z, \bar{z}) e^{\frac{\pi i}{\sqrt{k}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{2}-\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{2}\right)} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

as in the classical solution.
It was shown in [32] that the operator $\mathbf{u}$ in the Minkowskian cylinder satisfies a locality condition similar to (2.28),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathbf{u}(t, \sigma), \mathbf{u}\left(t, \sigma^{\prime}\right)\right]=0 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute the reflection coefficient, note that the mapping $j \rightarrow-j-1$ leaves the conformal dimension (3.22) invariant and for normalizable states with $j=-\frac{1}{2}+i P$ it amounts to inverting the sign of the momentum $P$. As in the case of Liouville theory, we introduce an operator S that maps the state with momentum $j$ to the state with momentum $-j-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}|j, m, n\rangle=|-j-1, m, n\rangle, \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and leaves $\mathbf{u}$ invariant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}^{-1} \mathbf{u}(z, \bar{z}) \mathrm{S}=\mathbf{u}(z, \bar{z}) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $S$ can be decomposed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{PR}, \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{P}$ acts only on the $\mathbf{j}$ momentum of a state and changes its value to $-j-1$, and $\mathbf{R}$ acts as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}|j, m, n\rangle=R(j, m, n)|j, m, n\rangle, \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $R(j, m, n)$ being the reflection coefficient we wish to compute. Note that from $\mathrm{S}^{2}=1$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(j, m, n) R(-j-1, m, n)=1 . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the matrix elements of the operator $\mathbf{u}(z, \bar{z})$ at $z=\bar{z}=1$, we get, taking proper account of the zero modes in (3.30),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle j^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right| \mathbf{u}(1,1)|j, m, n\rangle=\delta_{n^{\prime}, n} \delta_{m^{\prime}, m+1}\left[\delta\left(j^{\prime}-j-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\delta\left(j^{\prime}-j+\frac{1}{2}\right) D(j, m, n)\right], \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(j, m, n)=\left(j-\frac{1}{2}(m+n k)\right)\left(j-\frac{1}{2}(m-n k)\right) \frac{\lambda \Gamma^{2}\left(b^{2}+1\right)}{k^{2}} \frac{\gamma\left(2 b^{2} j\right)}{\gamma\left(1+b^{2}(2 j+1)\right)} . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The computation is a generalization of the one that led to the Liouville result (2.41), and the details can be found in [32]. From eq. (3.34), it follows that the matrix element (3.38) should be equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle j^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right| \mathrm{S}^{-1} \mathbf{u}(1,1) \mathrm{S}|j, m, n\rangle=\delta_{n^{\prime}, n} \delta_{m^{\prime}, m+1}[ & \delta\left(j^{\prime}-j+\frac{1}{2}\right) R^{-1}\left(j+\frac{1}{2}, m+1, n\right) R(j, m, n) \\
& +\delta\left(j^{\prime}-j-\frac{1}{2}\right) R^{-1}\left(j+\frac{1}{2}, m+1, n\right) R(j, m, n) \\
& D(-j-1, m, n)] . \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing (3.38) and (3.40) leads to two functional equations for $R(j, m, n)$, but using (3.37) it is easy to see that they are equivalent. The resulting equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(j-\frac{1}{2}, m+1, n\right)=R(j, m, n) D^{-1}(j, m, n) . \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is not enough to fix $R(j, m, n)$, since any solution can be multiplied by a periodic function of $j$, with period $\frac{1}{2}$, and/or a periodic function of $m$ with period 1 .

### 3.3 The sine-Liouville dual

In light of the FZZ duality, it is natural to expect that the reflection coefficient $R(j, m, n)$ will get fixed through a second set of equations, associated to a scattering problem of sine-Liouville type. On the other hand, we do not expect that such a scattering problem corresponds to solving the equations of motion of the classical sine-Liouville Lagrangian. The reason is that the sine-Liouville interaction carries one unit of winding, and the reflection coefficient, which is equal to the two-point function, conserves the winding number [1].

To arrive to the form of the sine-Liouville scattering that we need, note that we expect the FZZ duality to be related to a $b \leftrightarrow b^{-1}$ transformation. Even though, unlike Liouville, the theory is not self-dual, the exact solution of the closely related $H_{3}$ WZW model shows that the two functional equations that determine the three-point functions are related by a $b \leftrightarrow b^{-1}$ transformation (34]. Applying this transformation to $\mathbf{f}(z)$ in (3.24), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}(z)=e^{\frac{1}{b} \phi(z)+i \frac{\eta}{b} X(z)} \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to invert the sign of the $\mathbf{p}_{1}$ momentum carried by $\mathbf{g}$ via scattering, it follows from (1.2) that we need two sine-Liouville interactions. This in turn allows to conserve the winding number by adjoining two sine-Liouville interactions with opposite winding. We propose that the FZZ dual of $\mathbf{u}(z, \bar{z})$ is the field

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}(z, \bar{z})= & \mathbf{g}(z) e^{-\frac{1}{b} \mathbf{x}_{1}} \overline{\mathbf{g}}(\bar{z})  \tag{3.43}\\
& +\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\left(e^{4 \pi i \mathbf{j}}-1\right)\left(e^{2 \pi i\left(\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{r}+k^{\prime}\right)}-1\right)} \mathbf{B}(z) \mathbf{g}(z) e^{\frac{1}{b} \mathbf{x}_{1}} \overline{\mathbf{g}}(\bar{z}) \overline{\mathbf{B}}(\bar{z}) \frac{1}{\left(e^{4 \pi i \mathbf{j}}-1\right)\left(e^{2 \pi i\left(\mathbf{j}-\overline{\mathbf{r}}-k^{\prime}\right)}-1\right)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{r}=\frac{\sqrt{k}}{2} \mathbf{p}_{2}, \overline{\mathbf{r}}=\frac{\sqrt{k}}{2} \overline{\mathbf{p}}_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}(z)=\int_{z}^{z e^{2 \pi i}} d w_{1} e^{i \sqrt{k} X\left(w_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{b} \phi\left(w_{1}\right)} \int_{z}^{z e e^{2 \pi i}} d w_{2} e^{-i \sqrt{k} X\left(w_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{b} \phi\left(w_{2}\right)}, \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for $\overline{\mathbf{B}}(\bar{z})$. The main evidence for our proposal is that this expression yields the correct reflection coefficient and a special structure constant which we discuss in the next section. Much as in the Liouville or the cigar cases, the classical form of $\mathbf{v}(z, \bar{z})$ maps an incoming free field into an outgoing free field when expressed in Minkowskian coordinates in the cylinder.

The product of $\mathbf{B}(z)$ and $\mathbf{g}(z)$ is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{B}(z) \mathbf{g}(z)=\int_{z}^{z e^{2 \pi i}} d w_{1} \int_{z}^{z e^{2 \pi i}} d w_{2}: e^{-\frac{1}{b} \phi\left(w_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{b} \phi\left(w_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{b} \phi(z)} e^{i \sqrt{k} X\left(w_{1}\right)-i \sqrt{k} X\left(w_{2}\right)+i \frac{\eta}{b} X(z)}: \\
\times\left(w_{1}-z\right)^{k^{\prime}}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)^{-k+1} \tag{3.45}
\end{gather*}
$$

and similarly for $\overline{\mathbf{g}}(\bar{z}) \overline{\mathbf{B}}(\bar{z})$. The spectrum of $\mathbf{r}, \overline{\mathbf{r}}$ is (see (3.19))

$$
\begin{align*}
& r=\frac{m+n k}{2}  \tag{3.46}\\
& \bar{r}=\frac{m-n k}{2} \tag{3.47}
\end{align*}
$$

We can now consider a generic matrix element of $\mathbf{v}(1,1)$, which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle j^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right| \mathbf{v}(1,1)|j, m, n\rangle=\delta_{n^{\prime}, n} \delta_{m^{\prime}, m+k^{\prime}}\left[\delta\left(j^{\prime}-j-\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}\right)+E(j, m, n) \delta\left(j^{\prime}-j+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}\right)\right] \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(j, m, n)=\frac{\tilde{\lambda} K(j, r) \bar{K}(j, \bar{r})}{\left(e^{2 \pi i\left(2 j-k^{\prime}\right)}-1\right)\left(e^{4 \pi i j}-1\right)\left(e^{2 \pi i(j-r)}-1\right)\left(e^{2 \pi i\left(j-\bar{r}-k^{\prime}\right)}-1\right)} . \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\bar{K}(j, \bar{r})=\left.e^{-i \pi k^{\prime}} K(j, r)\right|_{r=\bar{r}}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
K(j, r) & =\oint \oint d w_{1} d w_{2}\left(w_{1}-1\right)^{k^{\prime}}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)^{-k+1} w_{1}^{j+r} w_{2}^{j-r}  \tag{3.50}\\
& =\oint d w_{1} w_{1}^{2 j-k^{\prime}}\left(w_{1}-1\right)^{k^{\prime}} \oint d y y^{j-r}(1-y)^{-k+1} \\
& =e^{i \pi k^{\prime}}\left(e^{2 \pi i\left(2 j-k^{\prime}\right)}-1\right)\left(e^{2 \pi i(j-r)}-1\right) \int_{0}^{1} d w_{1} w_{1}^{2 j-k^{\prime}}\left(w_{1}-1\right)^{k^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{1} d y y^{j-r}(1-y)^{-k+1} \\
& =e^{i \pi k^{\prime}}\left(e^{2 \pi i\left(2 j-k^{\prime}\right)}-1\right)\left(e^{2 \pi i(j-r)}-1\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(2 j-k^{\prime}+1\right) \Gamma\left(k^{\prime}+1\right)}{\Gamma(2 j+2)} \frac{\Gamma(j-r+1) \Gamma\left(-k^{\prime}\right)}{\Gamma\left(j-r-k^{\prime}+1\right)},
\end{align*}
$$

where in the first two lines the integrals are taken counterclockwise in the unit circle, and in the second line we changed variables to ( $y=w_{2} / w_{1}, w_{1}$ ). The contour deformations in going from the second to the third line are the same as in the previous cases. The final expression for $E(j, m, n)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(j, m, n)=\frac{\tilde{\lambda} \pi^{2}}{\sin ^{2}\left(\pi k^{\prime}\right)} \gamma\left(2 j-k^{\prime}+1\right) \gamma(-2 j-1) \frac{\Gamma(j-r+1)}{\Gamma\left(j-r-k^{\prime}+1\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(-j+\bar{r}+k^{\prime}\right)}{\Gamma(-j+\bar{r})} \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, to obtain the sought-for equations for $R(j, m, n)$ we impose the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle j^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right| \mathbf{v}(1,1)|j, m, n\rangle=\left\langle j^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right| \mathrm{S}^{-1} \mathbf{v}(1,1) \mathrm{S}|j, m, n\rangle \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this yields two equations for $R(j, m, n)$, which are equivalent after using (3.37). The resulting equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(j-\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, m+k^{\prime}, n\right)=R(j, m, n) E^{-1}(j, m, n) . \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation, along with (3.37) and (3.41), has as a solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(j, m, n)=\nu^{2 j+1} \frac{\Gamma(-2 j-1)}{\Gamma(2 j+1)} \frac{\Gamma\left(1-b^{2}(2 j+1)\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+b^{2}(2 j+1)\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(j+1-\frac{1}{2}(m+n k)\right)}{\Gamma\left(-j-\frac{1}{2}(m+n k)\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(j+1+\frac{1}{2}(m-n k)\right)}{\Gamma\left(-j+\frac{1}{2}(m-n k)\right)} \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu=-\lambda \Gamma^{2}\left(b^{2}\right) / k^{2}=\left(\tilde{\lambda} \pi^{2} / k^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2}\left(\pi k^{\prime}\right)\right)^{b^{2}}$. This result coincides with the reflection coefficient for the cigar obtained with other methods (see e.g. [12]).

### 3.4 Relation with parafermionic symmetry

The two-dimensional black hole has two holomorphic and two anti-holomorphic parafermionic conserved currents, which come from the affine symmetries of the $\mathrm{SL}(2, R)$ WZW model. In terms of the fields $\phi, X$, the currents are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{ \pm}=(i \sqrt{k} \partial X \mp \sqrt{k-2} \partial \phi) e^{\mp \frac{2 i}{\sqrt{k}} X} \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the work [12], following [27, 8], the reflection coefficient $R(j, m, n)$ was obtained using properties of degenerate operators of the parafermionic symmetry. Remarkable, the method of 12 to determine the reflection coefficient, leads to the same two difference equations (3.41) and (3.53).

In this section we would like to point out some connections between the approach in (12) and the methods used in this paper.

Firstly, note that the screening charges $\mathbf{Q}(z)$ and $\mathbf{B}(z)$ which appear in $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are built from primary operators of dimension one, which, as shown in 12], commute with the parafermionic generators.

The primary fields of the parafermionic symmetry can be written as $V_{j, r, \bar{r}}$. The method of [12] exploits the fact that the operators $V_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}$ and $V_{\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}}$ are degenerate operators of the parafermionic algebra. ${ }^{2}$

One can check from the free field representation of the $\mathrm{SL}(2, R) / \mathrm{U}(1)$ primaries given in (12], that the free field representations of these two degenerate fields coincide with the first terms in $\mathbf{u}(z, \bar{z})$ and $\mathbf{v}(z, \bar{z})$, namely their asymptotic value in the far past. The free field representation of the primaries is valid when the interaction in the $\mathrm{SL}(2, R) / \mathrm{U}(1)$ Lagrangian is turned off. This suggests the identifications

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{u}(z, \bar{z})=V_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}  \tag{3.56}\\
& \mathbf{v}(z, \bar{z})=V_{\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}} \tag{3.57}
\end{align*}
$$

in the fully interacting theory. To prove these identities, note that in the OPE of these two degenerate fields with a generic primary of $\mathrm{SL}(2, R) / \mathrm{U}(1)$, we have has the fusion rules 35]

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} V_{j, r, \bar{r}} \sim C_{j, r, \bar{r}}^{+}\left[V_{j+\frac{1}{2}, r+\frac{1}{2}, \bar{r}+\frac{1}{2}}\right]+C_{j, r, \bar{r}}^{-}\left[V_{j-\frac{1}{2}, r+\frac{1}{2}, \bar{r}+\frac{1}{2}}\right] \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}} V_{j, r, \bar{r}} \sim & \tilde{C}_{j, r, \bar{r}}^{+}\left[V_{j+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, r+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \bar{r}+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}}\right]+\tilde{C}_{j, r, \bar{r}}^{-}\left[V_{j-\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, r+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \bar{r}+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}}\right] \\
& +\tilde{C}_{j, r, \bar{r}}^{\times}\left[V_{\left.-\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}-j-1, r+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \bar{r}+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}\right]}\right. \tag{3.59}
\end{align*}
$$

The fields can be normalized so that $C_{j, r, \bar{r}}^{+}=\tilde{C}_{j, r, \bar{r}}^{+}=1$. The first remarkable connection between the approach of this paper and that of 12], are the identities

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{j, r, \bar{r}}^{-}=D(j, m, n),  \tag{3.60}\\
& \tilde{C}_{j, r, \bar{r}}^{-}=E(j, m, n), \tag{3.61}
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]which will help us to establish (3.56)-(3.57).
Consider the field $V_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}$ first. Taking the $\left\langle j^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right| \cdot|0\rangle$ matrix element of both sides of eq. (3.58) evaluated at $V_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(1) V_{j, r, \bar{r}}(0)$, we get on the r.h.s, using (3.60), precisely eq. (3.38). Therefore, the generic matrix elements of $\mathbf{u}$ and $V_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}$ coincide, and this establishes their identity as operators.

For the field $V_{\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}}$, we should notice that the first and last term in the r.h.s of (3.59) should be treated as the incoming and reflected wave function of the same field, since they are related by $j \rightarrow-j-1$. We can define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}_{j+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, r+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \bar{r}+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}} \equiv V_{j+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, r+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \bar{r}+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}}+R^{-1}\left(j+k^{\prime} / 2, m+k^{\prime}, n\right) V_{-\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}-j-1, r+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \bar{r}+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}} \tag{3.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have identified

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{-1}\left(j+k^{\prime} / 2, m+k^{\prime}, n\right)=\tilde{C}_{j, r, \bar{r}}^{\times} \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we should identify the state $\left|j+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, m+k^{\prime}, n\right\rangle$ with the action of $\tilde{V}_{j+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, r+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \bar{r}+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}}$ on the vacuum $|0\rangle$. Note that this is consistent with eqs. (3.33) $-(3.37$ ). Now eq. (3.59) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}} V_{j, r, \bar{r}} \sim\left[\tilde{V}_{j+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, r+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \bar{r}+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}}\right]+\tilde{C}_{j, r, \bar{r}}^{-}\left[V_{j-\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, r+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \bar{r}+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}}\right] \tag{3.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the $\left\langle j^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right| \cdot|0\rangle$ matrix element on both sides of this equation, and using (3.48) and (3.61), it follows that the generic matrix elements of $\mathbf{v}$ and $V_{\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}}$ coincide, and this proves the identity (3.57).

In order to fully establish the validity of the field $\mathbf{v}$ as the dual to $\mathbf{u}$, it must be shown that in the Minkowskian cylinder a locality property for $\mathbf{v}$ similar to (2.28) and (3.32) holds, as well as locality between $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{u}$. But this follows from eqs. (3.56) and (3.57), and the fact that the locality properties are valid for the $V_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}$ and $V_{\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}}$ operators in the $H_{3}^{+}$ WZW model, and survive in the parafermionic theory obtained as the coset $H_{3}^{+} / \mathrm{U}(1)$.
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## A. Useful formulae

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma(x) \Gamma(1-x)=\frac{\pi}{\sin (\pi x)}  \tag{A.1}\\
& \gamma(x)=\frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(1-x)}  \tag{A.2}\\
& \int_{0}^{1} d w w^{\alpha-1}(1-w)^{\beta-1}=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The main difference between the two cases lies in the normal ordering of the zero modes and in the fact that the momentum operator in the complex plane is not Hermitian in the presence of a background charge (see eq. (3.23) below). For details on the differences between the quantization in the cylinder and the complex plane see 33].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The conventions in differ from those of this paper by the replacements $j, m, \bar{m} \rightarrow-j, r, \bar{r}$.

